1 **Convective Transport of Peroxides by Thunderstorms Observed over the** 2 **Central U.S. during DC3** 3

4 M. C. Barth¹, M. M. Bela^{2,3}, A. Fried⁴, P. O. Wennberg⁵, J. D. Crounse⁵, J. M. St. Clair⁶, N. J. 5 Blake⁷, D. R. Blake⁷, C. R. Homeyer⁸, W. H. Brune⁹, L. Zhang⁹, J. Mao^{10,11}, X. Ren¹², T. B. 6 Ryerson¹³, I. B. Pollack¹⁴, J. Peischl^{13,15}, R. C. Cohen¹⁶, B. A. Nault¹⁶, L. G. Huey¹⁷, X. Liu¹⁷ and 7 C. A. Cantrell²

- 8
- ¹ 9 Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory and Mesoscale and Microscale
- 10 Meteorology Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- ² Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado,
- 12 USA
- ³ Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado,
- 14 USA
- ⁴ 1 Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁵ 16 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
- 17 Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology, University of Maryland Baltimore County /
- 18 NASA-Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland
- ⁷ Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California, USA
- 8 20 ⁸ School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, USA
- ⁹ 21 Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA
- ¹⁰ Program in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
- 23 ¹¹ Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, NOAA, Princeton, NJ, USA
- 24 ¹² NOAA-Air Resources Laboratory, College Park, Maryland, USA
- ¹³ Chemical Sciences Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and
- 26 Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA
- ¹⁴ Atmospheric Sciences Department, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 28 ¹⁵ Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder,
- 29 Colorado, USA
- 16 University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA
- 17G 31 $^{-17}$ Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA
- 32
- 33
-
-
- $\frac{36}{37}$
- Correspondence to: M. C. Barth (barthm@ucar.edu), Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and
- Modeling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, 3450 Mitchell Lane, Boulder,
- Colorado, USA
-
- **Key Points:**
- 1. Peroxide scavenging efficiencies derived from measurements
- 2. Hydrogen peroxide is readily removed by storms
- 3. Methyl hydrogen peroxide scavenging correlated with ice, entrainment and lightning-NOx

Abstract

 One of the objectives of the Deep Convective Clouds and Chemistry (DC3) field experiment was to determine the scavenging of soluble trace gases by thunderstorms. We present an analysis of 48 scavenging of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and methyl hydrogen peroxide (CH₃OOH) from six 49 DC3 cases that occurred in Oklahoma and northeast Colorado. Estimates of H_2O_2 scavenging efficiencies are comparable to previous studies ranging from 79-97% with uncertainties of 5- 23%. CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies ranged from 12-84% with uncertainties of 15-67%. The wide range of CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies is surprising, as previous studies suggested that CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies would be <10%. Cloud chemistry model simulations of one DC3 storm produced CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies of 26-61% depending on the ice retention factor of CH3OOH during cloud drop freezing, suggesting ice physics impacts CH3OOH scavenging. The highest CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies occurred in two severe thunderstorms, but there is no obvious correlation between the CH3OOH scavenging efficiency and the storm thermodynamic environment. We found a moderate correlation between the estimated entrainment rates and CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies. The production of nitric oxide from lightning may influence CH3OOH convective outflow mixing ratios by reducing CH3OOH production because methyl peroxy radical reacts with nitric oxides instead of hydroperoxy radical. However, parcel model simulations with added nitric oxide do not differ from those without a nitric oxide source. To determine why CH3OOH can be substantially removed from storms, future studies should examine effects of entrainment rate, retention of CH3OOH in 65 frozen cloud particles during drop freezing, and lightning- NO_x production.

67 **1. Introduction**

68 To understand the radiative impact of ozone in the upper troposphere (UT), ozone chemical 69 sources in the UT must be quantified. Ozone (O_3) is produced by the reactions between peroxy 70 radicals (e.g. hydroperoxy and methyl hydroperoxy radicals, HO_2 and CH_3OO , respectively) and 71 nitric oxide (NO) to form nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), which subsequently photodissociates to form 72 O₃. Thus, odd hydrogen (HO_x = OH + HO₂; OH is hydroxyl radical) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x = 73 NO + NO₂) are key precursors to O₃. Although the primary formation of HO_x radicals is from O₃ 74 photodissociation, oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), as well as their 75 photodissociation, is also important. A source of these VOCs and NO_x in the UT is in convective 76 outflow regions, where VOCs are transported from the boundary layer (BL) to the UT and NO_x 77 is formed from lightning. However, many key HO_x precursors, including formaldehyde (CH₂O), 78 hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , and methyl hydrogen peroxide (CH₃OOH), are soluble and can be 79 partially removed from the atmosphere via dissolution into cloud drops that grow into rain, snow, 80 graupel, and hail precipitating to the ground. Quantifying the fraction of HO_x precursors that are 81 scavenged (or conversely transported to the UT) improves the estimation of $O₃$ production in 82 convective outflow regions. In this paper, we determine the scavenging efficiencies (SE) of H_2O_2 83 and CH₃OOH based on aircraft measurements obtained during the Deep Convective Cloud and 84 Chemistry (DC3) field experiment [Barth et al., 2015]. Fried et al. [2015] perform a similar 85 analysis for CH₂O while Bela et al. [2015] evaluate the convective transport of nitric acid, H_2O_2 , 86 CH2O, sulfur dioxide, and CH3OOH in a 3-dimensional cloud chemistry model with 87 observations, and calculate the fraction of these species removed for four DC3 thunderstorm 88 cases.

90 Previous studies suggest convective transport of HO_x precursors play an important role in 91 controlling O_3 mixing ratios in the UT. Measurements of UT HO_x during the NASA STRAT 92 (1996) campaign occasionally exceeded theoretical estimates of HO_x concentrations, suggesting 93 an additional source of UT HO_x that was proposed to be convective transport of CH₂O, H₂O₂, 94 and CH3OOH [Jaegle et al., 1997; Prather and Jacob, 1997; Wennberg et al., 1998]. 95 Measurements from the NASA PEM-Tropics (1999) campaign revealed an enhancement of 96 CH₃OOH and a lack of enhancement of H_2O_2 in aged convective outflow over the tropical 97 Pacific, supporting the importance of convective transport for sources of hydrogen oxide radicals 98 in the upper troposphere [Ravetta et al., 2001]. Cohan et al [1999] estimated that H_2O_2 had 55-99 70% scavenging efficiency based on measurements of tropical oceanic convection, while 100 CH3OOH showed no apparent scavenging. Peroxide measurements from the INTEX-A field 101 campaign revealed that H_2O_2 was depleted while CH₃OOH was enhanced in convective outflow 102 regions compared to the background UT [Snow et al., 2007]. These prior measurements in 103 STRAT, PEM Tropics-B, and INTEX-A did not gather simultaneous inflow and outflow trace 104 gas measurements of convection to allow for estimates of peroxide scavenging. An 105 intercomparison study of cloud-scale chemistry models [Barth et al., 2007b] showed a large 106 variation in predictions of $CH₂O$ and $H₂O₂$ that depended on whether or not the trace gas was 107 retained in frozen particles (snow, graupel or hail).

108

109 Other previous studies have indicated an unexpected reduced amount of CH₃OOH in the marine 110 boundary layer impacted by clouds compared to the reduction that would be expected due to gas-111 phase photochemistry. Fried et al. [2003] discuss conditions at very low NO mixing ratios (< 5 112 pptv) where box model calculations predicted CH₃OOH mixing ratios to be 2-3 times greater 113 than nearby CH₃OOH observations. While we expect CH₃OOH to be higher at low NO mixing ratios than at high NO, the theoretical estimates are much greater than observed, suggesting that 115 additional losses reduce CH₃OOH in reality. In the DC3 environment, NO mixing ratios were rarely (if at all) this low. However, the reverse situation could exist where increases in NO mixing ratios from lightning production enable peroxy radicals to react with NO instead of with each other, thereby preventing the formation of peroxides.

120 Although H_2O_2 is highly soluble, its partitioning between gas and aqueous phases, as well as that for CH3OOH, should be in Henry's law equilibrium based on theoretical calculations and analysis of field measurements [Barth et al., 1989; MacDonald et al., 1995]. Because the Henry's 123 law equilibrium coefficients for H_2O_2 are over two orders of magnitude higher than those of 124 CH₃OOH, we expect that more H_2O_2 than CH₃OOH will be removed by cloud and precipitation 125 than CH₃OOH. However, we will show that CH₃OOH is sometimes removed more than 126 expected, even as much as H_2O_2 .

128 In this paper we examine the behavior of $CH₃OOH$ and $H₂O₂$ observed during DC3. The 129 scavenging efficiencies of H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH are derived from measurements of these peroxides and tracers of transport that were collected during the DC3 field experiment. The DC3 campaign and the instrument techniques used in the analysis are described in the next section. We then present the analysis method for determining each storm's entrainment rate and the peroxide scavenging efficiencies. We also use cloud-resolved 3-dimensional and box model simulations to investigate physical and chemical processes affecting the peroxide scavenging. Results for six DC3 storm cases are presented. In addition to discussing the uncertainties of the calculations, we show how the peroxide scavenging efficiencies vary with some key storm parameters, including the storm physics and chemistry.

2. Methods

2.1 Observations

 The DC3 field experiment took place in May and June 2012, sampling thunderstorms in northeast Colorado, west Texas to central Oklahoma, and northern Alabama. Ground-based facilities documented the storm kinematics, physical structure, and lightning location. Three aircraft, the NASA DC-8, the NSF/NCAR Gulfstream V, and the DLR Falcon 20, sampled the inflow and outflow regions of the storms to quantify the composition of these regions. Barth et al. [2015] present further details on the DC3 field experiment. In this paper, we utilize the data from the NASA DC-8 aircraft to examine the scavenging of hydrogen peroxide and methyl hydrogen peroxide in thunderstorms. While NSF/NCAR GV peroxide data are also available, reconciling differences between the DC-8 and GV peroxide measurements are beyond the scope of this paper. The DC-8 data are analyzed because the DC-8 flew in both inflow and outflow regions for each case analyzed (whereas the GV flew most often in outflow regions).

 The DC8 aircraft was extensively instrumented with trace gas and aerosol instruments [Barth et al., 2015]. A list of the data and instruments used in this study is given in Table 1. Horizontal winds, temperature, and pressure measurements on the DC-8 were obtained via the meteorological measurement system (MMS). Ice water content (IWC) was measured onboard the DC-8 aircraft by the SPEC 2-dimensional Stereo (2DS) probe [Lawson et al., 2006].

159 On the DC-8 aircraft H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH were measured using time-of-flight (ToF-CIMS) mass filter and tandem quadrupole mass filter (T-CIMS) chemical ionization mass spectrometers (CIMS), respectively. The rapid-scan collection of the ToF-CIMS instrument provides high temporal resolution (1 Hz or faster) and simultaneous data products for all masses [Nguyen et al., 2015]. The T-CIMS provides parent-daughter mass analysis, enabling measurement of compounds precluded from quantification by a single mass analyzer CIMS due to mass 165 interferences (e.g. CH₃OOH) or the presence of isobaric compounds (e.g. isoprene oxidation 166 products) [Paulot et al., 2009; St. Clair et al., 2010]. Calibrations for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH are 167 performed once per hour during flight using calibration standards for H_2O_2 (evolved from urea-168 hydrogen peroxide) and CH₃OOH (from a diffusion vial). Note that the uncertainties for H₂O₂ 169 ($>50\%$) and CH₃OOH ($\sim80\%$ at low altitude and $\sim40\%$ at high altitude) are high and contribute the largest uncertainty to the analysis performed in this study.

 The non-methane hydrocarbons n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, and i-pentane are used to estimate the entrainment rate for each storm. On the DC-8, these hydrocarbons were measured using the whole air sampler (WAS), which pumps a sample of air into a previously evacuated canister with an approximately 1 minute fill time (up to 2 minutes at high altitude) that is analyzed in the laboratory by gas chromatography [Colman et al., 2010; Simpson et al. 2010]. Sampling frequency is variable, but is typically every 2 to 5 minutes.

179 Aircraft measurements of OH, HO_2 , sulfur dioxide (SO_2) , NO, NO₂, and methyl peroxy nitrate (MPN) are used in assessing whether CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies are correlated with these 181 trace gases or to examine the gas-phase CH₃OOH production. The HO_x radicals, OH and HO_2 , were measured by the Pennsylvania State University Airborne Tropospheric Hydrogen Oxides 183 Sensor (ATHOS), which is a laser induced fluorescence technique [Faloona et al., 2004]. SO_2 is measured with the Georgia Institute of Technology Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (CIMS) [Kim et al., 2007]. NO and NO2 were measured with ozone-induced chemiluminescence 186 and ultra-violet photolysis of $NO₂$ to $NO₂$ followed by ozone-induced chemiluminescence, 187 respectively [Pollack et al., 2011]. The NO₂ data before June 11, 2012 are from the University of California – Berkeley thermal dissociation-laser induced fluorescence (TD-LIF) instrument [Thornton et al., 2000; Nault et al., 2015]. Methyl peroxy nitrate mixing ratios were also measured with the TD-LIF instrument as described by Nault et al. [2015].

 Next Generation Weather Radar (NEXRAD) program Weather Surveillance Radar – 1988 Doppler (WSR-88D) data [Crum and Alberty, 1993] are used to understand the storm structure and to estimate the distance of the DC-8 aircraft sampling the outflow from the nearest storm core. Data from multiple radars, which are S-band (10 cm wavelength) radars, are processed to produce 3-dimensional composites following the procedure described in Homeyer [2014] and updated in Homeyer and Kumjian [2015]. Radiosonde data from soundings launched in the pre- storm environment are used to determine the thermodynamic environment of the storm. Radiosondes in Colorado are from the NCAR Mobile Integrated Sounding System and those in Oklahoma from the National Severe Storms Laboratory (NSSL). The National Weather Service North Platte sounding was used for the May 18 storm observed in southwest Nebraska. The convective available potential energy (CAPE), 0-6 km vertical wind shear, depth from cloud base to the freezing level, and depth from the freezing level to the -40°C isotherm were 204 calculated from the soundings. The CAPE is determined using mixed layer mean temperature,

205 where the mixed layer is defined between the surface and 100 hPa above the surface.

 Six case studies were chosen from the DC3 dataset (Table 2) based on the type of convection and the availability of DC-8 inflow and outflow data. Four of these cases were in the northeast Colorado and southwest Nebraska region, and two were in Oklahoma. The cases are primarily 210 severe convection, with CAPE ranging from 900 to 3100 J kg^{-1} , and 0-6 km vertical wind shear 211 range of 12-24 m s⁻¹. The depth of the cloud where $T > 0$ °C (where only liquid water cloud physics occurs) varies substantially among storms. The Colorado convective storms have much shallower depths between cloud base and the freezing level than Oklahoma convection, but this 214 depth increases from mid-May to late June. The depth from the freezing level to $T = -40^{\circ}C$, which is the temperature where cloud drops homogeneously freeze, is fairly consistent among storms with depths from 4900 to 5200 m for Colorado storms and ~5800 m for Oklahoma storms.

2.2 Analysis Method

 Calculations of scavenging efficiencies from aircraft observations have been done previously by using a multi-component mixture model. Cohan et al. [1999] considered two components, one being the inflow region and the second being the upper troposphere where the convective outflow resides. Borbon et al. [2012] used three components (BL, free troposphere, and UT) and Yang et al. [2015] used four components (BL, buffer layer, clean layer, and UT, where the buffer layer extends from the BL to 7 km altitude and the clean air layer extends from 7 km to 9.5 km where the UT layer begins), adding entrainment of free troposphere air. Luo et al. [2010]

 estimated entrainment in every 1 km layer of the deep convection. Here, and in Fried et al. [2015], we combine the methods of these previous studies to determine the scavenging efficiencies of trace gases.

 Measurements from the inflow and outflow regions of the storm, as well as the free troposphere, were used to compute the scavenging efficiencies. The DC-8 aircraft gathered these observations by first sampling the inflow BL composition at several altitudes including a flight leg above the BL top, then spiraling up to the anvil outflow region where several across-anvil passes were made (Figure 1). Often the anvil passes were several kilometers downwind of the storm core tops in order to keep the aircraft in a safe location from damaging hail and turbulence. Thus, some degree of anvil dilution and chemistry is imparted on the trace gas mixing ratios before the DC-8 aircraft collects the measurements. To minimize the impact of dilution and chemistry, we use outflow data that are closest to the storm core tops. Fried et al. [2015] employed a similar data analysis, but instead used 1-minute averaged data in the outflow flight segments to extrapolate 241 back to the storm core top.

 The aircraft sampling of the inflow and outflow regions was found by identifying flight segments where horizontal winds showed air flowing into the storm within the boundary layer and air flowing away from the storm cores in the anvil. Besides the physical location of the plane, the chemical signatures of CO, hydrocarbons, and IWC were used to identify these flight legs. Figure 2 illustrates the outflow legs used in the analysis for the six convection cases. For each case, 20 minutes of the DC-8 flight track is plotted over the column maximum radar reflectivity. 249 The DC-8 flight track is colored by the magnitude of the CH₃OOH 30-s averaged mixing ratio.

 The wind vectors (data from the DC-8) are plotted for the segment of the flight track used for the outflow analysis. The inflow and outflow times for each of the cases are listed in Table 3. The distance between the aircraft and storm cores varied among the different storm cases, with some cases (e.g. May 29 in Figure 2b) just a few km from the cores developing in the anvil region and others (e.g. June 22 in Figure 2f) nearly 100 km from the storm core.

 Once the inflow and outflow time periods were identified, the average mixing ratios for several trace gases were calculated. In some cases, mixing ratios were not available because of in-flight calibration of the instrument. For example, on 18 May 2012, the inflow CH3OOH mixing ratio 259 was estimated based on nearby flight legs. Specifically for this case, an estimate of the CH₃OOH 260 at 1.68 km was obtained by dividing the H_2O_2 mixing ratio at 1.68 km by the H_2O_2 to CH₃OOH 261 ratio at 2.57 km (which is \sim 1.2).

 To obtain information on air entraining into the storm, a vertical profile of cloud-free data from 264 the storm region was obtained. Stratospheric air was omitted by removing times where the O_3 to CO ratio was greater than 1.25. This method may not remove all of the data points with stratosphere influence because of mixing of air caused by the thunderstorms as described in recent studies [Schroeder et al., 2014; Huntrieser et al., 2015a,b]. Also removed from these profiles were measurements of other unique features such as biomass burning plumes. These were removed by restricting the time frame for the profiles, which was determined from the high CO mixing ratios. Most of the cloud-free profiles are based on the DC-8 spiral from the boundary layer to the upper troposphere. The data were binned into 1 km altitude ranges. Missing data (because of in-flight calibrations and zeros) were filled in by interpolating to the

 altitude of the missing data from the averages found above and below that altitude, and extrapolating to the lowest or highest altitude if needed. Figure 3 shows cloud-free vertical 275 profiles of H_2O_2 , CH₃OOH, n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, and i-pentane for the six cases.

 Cloud-free profiles of n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, and i-pentane were used to estimate the entrainment rate. These VOCs have chemical lifetimes (3-5 days) much longer than the time for convective transport from the BL to the UT (typically 10-15 minutes [Skamarock et al., 2000]) and transport downwind to the aircraft (typically 30-45 minutes). Long chemical lifetimes and very low solubility allow these VOCs to be markers of transport only. Fried et al. [2015] further discuss the merits of using these tracers. The entrainment model follows an air parcel from just below cloud base (CB), where that air has a VOC mixing ratio representing the BL, to the location of the aircraft anvil measurements, where the VOC mixing ratio is a combination of the VOC from the BL and the cloud-free (CF) VOC mixing ratios that are entrained into the storm. 286 The entrainment rate E (% km⁻¹) is found by calculating the VOC mixing ratio at 1-km altitude bins from just below cloud base to the height of the aircraft measurements. For example, the 288 VOC mixing ratio at one km above cloud base $(VOC(z_{CH+1}))$ is a combination of the VOC 289 mixing ratio at cloud base $(VOC(z_{CB}))$ and the VOC in the cloud-free air at one km above cloud 290 base $VOC_{CF}(z_{CB+1})$ based on the fraction entrained. This equation can be generalized by the following equation,

293
$$
VOC(z) = E\,VOC_{cf}(z) + (1 - E)\,VOC(z - 1) \tag{1}
$$

295 where $VOC(z)$ is the n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, or i-pentane mixing ratio in the updraft at each 1-km altitude *z* (km), *VOC*(*z-1*) is the VOC mixing ratio at 1 km below the altitude *z*, and

 VOCcf(*z*) is the average VOC mixing ratio in the cloud-free region at each 1-km altitude *z*. To determine *E*, equation 1 was iterated until the calculated VOC mixing ratio at the height of the aircraft outflow measurements and the measured VOC mixing ratio in the outflow region matched within 1-10%. This procedure was conducted for all four VOCs and the average of these derived entrainment rates were used to calculate the scavenging efficiency. The highest to lowest entrainment rates give the range of entrainment rates for each storm and are used in expressing the entrainment rate uncertainty. It is assumed that the entrainment rate is the same at every 1 km altitude, however we estimate the impact of this assumption by utilizing variable entrainment rates determined in the WRF simulation for the 29 May DC3 case in Section 3.

 The first step in obtaining the scavenging efficiency of a soluble trace gas having mixing ratio *Csol* is to determine *Csol* at the height of the aircraft outflow measurements (*Csol(z=top)*) if it were only transported (i.e. there is no dissolution into cloud particles and chemistry does not affect the 310 mixing ratio of C_{sol}). C_{sol} ($z=top$) is found by using equation 1 with H_2O_2 or CH₃OOH in place of the VOC and using the average entrainment rate. The scavenging efficiency SE (%) is found by calculating the difference between the soluble trace gas mixing ratio measured from the aircraft *Csol (outflow)* and the estimated transported mixing ratio at the height of the aircraft *Csol (z=top)* using,

$$
SE = 100 \frac{C_{sol}(z = top) - C_{sol}(outflow)}{C_{sol}(z = top)}
$$
 (2)

 The uncertainty for *Csol(outflow)* is the average uncertainty of the measurements in the outflow flight leg. The uncertainty for the scavenging efficiency and *Csol(z=top)* are found by the quadrature method (Taylor, 1982).

 While equation 2 is defining the scavenging efficiency, which is viewed as the physical removal of a trace gas via dissolution and rain out, the equation actually encompasses all physical and chemical processes occurring between the inflow and outflow regions sampled by the aircraft. Thus, there can be physical removal, chemical destruction or even a reduction in chemical production. An example of the last process, mentioned in the introduction and discussed in Section 4, is the reduction in peroxide formation because the peroxy radicals react with NO to form NO₂ and CH₂O instead of reacting with each other to form the peroxides.

2.3 Description of Cloud-Resolving Scale Model Simulations

 The 29 May 2012 northern Oklahoma storm has been simulated with the Weather Forecasting and Research model coupled with Chemistry (WRF-Chem [Grell et al., 2005]). Bela et al. [2015] give a full description of the model configuration and simulation results. Table 4 provides information on the WRF-Chem configuration. The model domain is centered on northern Oklahoma using 1-km horizontal grid spacing and 88 vertical levels to 50 hPa (~20 km). The cloud resolving grid spacing allows for explicit representation of transport and wet deposition in the deep convection. The wet deposition scheme [Neu and Prather, 2012] estimates wet removal of soluble trace gases from the gas phase. This scheme estimates trace gas removal by multiplying the effective Henry's Law equilibrium aqueous concentration by the net precipitation formation (conversion of cloud water to precipitation, minus evaporation of 341 precipitation). In mixed-phase conditions (258 K $\lt T \lt 273$ K), the Neu and Prather [2012] scheme estimates a fraction of the dissolved gas to be retained in the frozen hydrometeors. The 343 retention fraction of H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH is set to 0.64 and 0.01, respectively, in accordance with 344 laboratory values compiled by Leriche et al. [2013]. Other soluble trace gases $(CH₂O, HNO₃)$, and $SO₂$) also use retention factors recommended by Leriche et al. [2013], while all other trace gases are completely degassed from the condensed phase. Sensitivity simulations were conducted to explore the effect of the retention factor on trace gas scavenging.

 In addition to the simulations that included wet deposition, a simulation without wet deposition was performed. The scavenging efficiencies (SE) are then calculated from these results using the following equation.

$$
SE = 100 \frac{(C_{noseav} - C_{seav})}{C_{noseav}}
$$
 (3)

 where *Cnoscav* and *Cscav* are average model mixing ratios of soluble trace gas *C* near the storm core as defined by the eastern (i.e., downwind) 40 dBZ maximum reflectivity contour. This location was chosen based on the analysis method of the observations outlined above. For the 29 May DC3 case, the DC-8 measurements were obtained near storm cores with reflectivity > 40 dBZ (Figure 2b). The mixing ratios of the trace gases were averaged in the convective outflow altitudes (9.43-11.59 km).

 In another simulation, 20 tracers representing air in each 1-km altitude layer of the atmosphere 362 from the surface to the top of the model $(\sim 20 \text{ km})$. These layer tracers were set to a value of 1.0 in their respective layer for a 10-minute time period (0010-0020 UTC 30 May 2012) and analyzed 2 hours after the initialization of the tracer at 0200 UTC 30 May 2012 to allow time for boundary layer air to reach the outflow location. The results were analyzed to determine the percent contribution of each layer to the modeled storm core top as defined above. These percent contributions from each layer (Table 5) were used as the entrainment rate for calculations of scavenging efficiency from the aircraft observations to compare results from an average entrainment rate with those from an altitude-varying entrainment rate in Section 3.

2.4 Box Model Calculations

 The gas-aqueous chemistry box model described by Barth et al. [2003] is used to analyze the chemistry within the updraft regions of a severe thunderstorm. The box model has been modified to include the non-methane hydrocarbon gas-phase chemistry described by Kim et al. [2012]. 375 The aqueous-phase chemistry represents only $S(IV)$, O_3 , H_2O_2 , and CH_2O chemistry [Barth et al., 2007a]. Other soluble VOC trace gases, e.g. organic aldehydes, peroxides, and nitrates, also partition into the aqueous phase following Henry's Law equilibrium, but do not undergo aqueous-phase chemistry. The coefficients for Henry's Law are from Sander [2015] and Sander et al. [2011]. Photolysis rates are appropriate for 36°N beginning at 00 UTC (1900 local time), matching the time of the storm observations. The photolysis rates vary with altitude and are modified by cloud scattering assuming a cloud optical depth of 500, cloud base of 2 km and cloud top of 15 km. The photolysis rates are less than their clear sky values throughout the 383 simulation as depicted by the cloudy to clear sky H_2O_2 photolysis rate ratio in Figure 4b.

 The box model has also been modified to have varying liquid water content, temperature, pressure, and altitude, which are prescribed using results from the WRF-Chem simulation at 00

 UTC 30 May 2012. Values are averages at each model level within the 36°N-37°N and 99°W-388 97°W latitude and longitude region and where vertical velocity in the column exceeds 5 m s⁻¹. The resulting cloud hydrometeor vertical profiles are shown in Figure 4a. For the box model simulations we prescribe only the cloud liquid water content and exclude the water content from precipitation. From Figure 4, it is evident that much of the condensed water is in the precipitation, primarily as hail, for this updraft region. Because the box model calculations are a function of time, the prescribed time coordinate is converted to an altitude coordinate so that the chemistry of a hypothetical rising air parcel can be determined. The air parcel begins at the 1.16 km altitude (near cloud base), solves for only gas-phase chemistry for 10 minutes to allow the radicals to reach approximate photochemical equilibrium, then is lifted to higher altitudes 397 assuming a 3 m s⁻¹ updraft. At ~14 km where the WRF-Chem updraft velocities are ~0 m s⁻¹, the artificial lifting of the box is stopped. The 14 km altitude is above the cloud water region of the storm (Figure 4); the top of the cloud water region is 10.9 km. Thus, the air parcel undergoes just gas-phase chemistry between 10.9 and 14 km.

402 To determine if H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH would be depleted if only gas-phase chemistry were occurring, a box model simulation with no liquid water was performed. Figure 4 shows that H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH have similar mixing ratios at cloud base (2.2 ppbv for H₂O₂ and 1.46 ppbv for CH3OOH). Thus, for the conditions of the box model simulation, both peroxides are not produced by the gas-phase chemistry. A second simulation with the prescribed LWC show that 407 in the cloud water region (2-11 km altitude) gas-phase H_2O_2 is rapidly depleted, with mixing ratios reduced to <0.2 ppbv in the mixed cloud region (4-11 km altitude). Note that solubility constants increase as temperature decreases, allowing more of the soluble trace gas to partition 410 into the aqueous phase. Gas-phase CH₃OOH mixing ratios also decrease, but by $\leq 10\%$ of the gas-phase only simulation. At the top of the cloud water region, the peroxides in the aqueous phase return to the gas phase because of the lack of liquid water. In an actual cloud the cloud water is more likely being collected by precipitating cloud particles and freezing. Thus, what is shown in Figure 4 is akin to the trace gases being degassed from all cloud particles. In summary, simple partition theory based on Henry's law equilibria and gas- and aqueous-phase chemistry 416 suggests substantial depletion of gas-phase H_2O_2 and small depletion of CH₃OOH. Gas-phase 417 chemistry alone does not deplete either H_2O_2 or CH₃OOH appreciably during transit from cloud base to cloud top.

3. Results

421 Average mixing ratios for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH measured during the DC8 flight inflow and outflow time periods are listed in Table 6. The mixing ratios in the inflow region are always higher than those in the outflow for both peroxide species, indicating that net production of peroxides within the storm is not occurring. The inflow mixing ratios vary from case to case, suggesting a dependence on vicinity to anthropogenic sources and time of year. The 18 May and 426 22 June storms, with lower H_2O_2 mixing ratios, both occurred near the Wyoming-Colorado- Nebraska border further from the Front Range urban region than the 2 June and 6 June Colorado 428 cases. The average NO_x mixing ratios for the 29 May, 2 June, 6, June, and 16 June inflow air 429 were 400-650 pptv, whereas that for the 22 June storm was \sim 260 pptv (the inflow NO_x mixing ratio for the 18 May storm was not measured due to instrument issues). The outflow mixing ratios also have some variability among the different storm cases. The uncertainties for the 432 average values can be quite large, ranging from 50-60% and 77-84% for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH,

433 respectively, in the boundary layer inflow air and 80-175% and 49-70% for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH, respectively, in the outflow air.

 The mixing ratios for the butanes and pentanes in the inflow air (Table 7) vary from storm to storm, but the variation is not correlated to the variation found for the peroxides. Higher mixing ratios in both the inflow and outflow air occur over Oklahoma than in Colorado, except for the 18 May case. The outflow mixing ratios are often much smaller than the inflow mixing ratios, except for the 22 June outflow where mixing ratios are only slightly less than those in the inflow suggesting less entrainment in the 22 June storm. Note that the 6 June case uses butane and pentane mixing ratios extrapolated to the storm top as determined by Fried et al. [2015] because the DC-8 mixing ratios from the outflow period listed in Table 5 have anomalously high butane and pentane mixing ratios that are greater than the inflow mixing ratios. Instead of using DC-8 data from outflow near the edge of the storm (and quite far from the storm cores), we chose to use the mixing ratios determined from a combination of DC-8 and GV data [Fried et al., 2015].

 The i-butane/n-butane and i-pentane/n-pentane ratios also provide evidence that the air measured by the DC-8 in the inflow region of the storms is connected to that sampled in the outflow region in the storm anvils. Gilman et al. [2013] and Swarthout et al. [2013] explain that these ratios are limited to a small range of values for a given source (e.g. cities, biomass burning emissions, oil and gas emissions). However, the ratios from source to source varies, where the i-butane/n- butane ratio is found to be 0.48 for U.S. cities, 0.26-0.27 for biomass burning emissions, 0.36- 0.69 for oil and gas emissions, and i-pentane/n-pentane ratio is 2.0 for U.S. cities, 0.31-0.37 for biomass burning emissions, and 1-1.4 for oil and gas emissions in the Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas region [Blake et al., 2015; Fried et al., 2015]. These ratios should be maintained for several hours because their rate constants with OH are within 10-15% for n-butane and i-butane oxidation and within 10% for n-pentane and i-pentane oxidation. The i-butane/n-butane and i-pentane/n- pentane ratios for the inflow regions of all the storms analyzed for this study lie within the range for oil and gas emissions as found by Blake et al. [2015] and Gilman et al. [2013] for the Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas region. This is not a surprising result because both Oklahoma and northeast Colorado have active oil and gas operations. The i-butane/n-butane and i-pentane/n- pentane ratios are fairly consistent between inflow air and outflow air for each storm, although some differences occur possibly because the storm is entraining air with different i-butane/n- butane and i-pentane/n-pentane ratios than the inflow region. Nevertheless, these ratios indicate that the two air masses sampled are well connected between inflow and outflow of each storm.

468 Entrainment rates, as calculated via the method described in Section 2.2, range from 4.1% km⁻¹ 469 to 17.2% km⁻¹ for the different storms analyzed (Table 8). The entrainment rate for 6 June was obtained from Fried et al. [2015] because the VOCs measured by the DC-8 were anomalously high in the outflow region. The entrainment rates for three of the cases are similar to those found by Luo et al [2010] who used moist static energy profiles to determine entrainment rates of $473 \times 10\%$ km⁻¹ for deep convective tropical, oceanic cumulus clouds. Moreover, the entrainment rate for the May 29 storm is identical to that found by Fried et al. [2015]. The entrainment rate for the 475 June 22 storm is within the mutual precision limits of Fried et al. [2015] who estimated 3.1 \pm 476 1.1% km⁻¹ compared to 4.8 ± 0.9 % km⁻¹ in this study. The small difference for the June 22 storm is expected since Fried et al. [2015] extrapolate their outflow data to estimate mixing ratios at storm core top, which are generally higher than the average values closest to the storm core

 employed here. If the entrainment rates reported in Table 8 are integrated for a 9 km depth, the total storm entrainment rate range is 40-68%, which is similar to entrainment rates of midlatitude, continental convection [Barth et al., 2007a; Thompson et al., 1994] and subtropical convection [Scala et al., 1990]. The entrainment rates determined here tend to be smaller for storms with higher 0-6 km vertical wind shear (Table 2), although the 16 June Oklahoma case 484 has a high vertical wind shear of ~ 16 m s⁻¹ and a high entrainment rate. Both low-level vertical wind shear and entrainment rate contribute to the storm intensity and longevity as they play a role in the strength of the cold pool and tilt of the updraft [Weisman et al., 1988; Lee et al., 2008].

489 The calculated scavenging efficiencies for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH for each storm analyzed range from 79% to 97% and 12% to 84%, respectively (Table 8). While there is some variability of 491 scavenging efficiency among storms for H_2O_2 , there is much more variability for CH₃OOH. The H₂O₂ scavenging efficiencies estimated from DC3 storms are somewhat greater than those previously found. Numerical modeling of a low-precipitation supercell observed in northeast 494 Colorado yielded a 57% H_2O_2 scavenging efficiency [Barth et al., 2007a]. Wang [2005] 495 estimated H_2O_2 scavenging efficiencies of 88-90% for tropical deep convection. Global chemistry transport model simulations estimated that a soluble species with a Henry's Law 497 coefficient similar to H_2O_2 has a 90% scavenging efficiency in deep convection [Crutzen and 498 Lawrence, 2000]. Estimated H_2O_2 scavenging efficiency, based on boundary layer, convective outflow, and UT background observations, for an oceanic, tropical convective storm is 55-70% [Cohan et al., 1999]. CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies for DC3 storms are also greater than past results. Barth et al. [2007a] determined a 7% scavenging efficiency, while Cohan et al. [1999] found no significant scavenging of CH3OOH.

504 The most surprising result is the substantial scavenging of CH₃OOH for two of the storms (29 May and 6 June) of greater than 75%, which is much greater than what is expected based on Henry's Law equilibrium between the gas and aqueous phases as shown in Section 2.4. However, the cloud physics in deep convective clouds is much more complicated than the simple model of a liquid-only cumulus cloud. The ice phase in deep convection interacts with the cloud and rain drops through freezing and melting processes, and the fate of the dissolved trace gas is uncertain when freezing occurs [Barth et al., 2001; 2007a], but is related to the value of the trace gas Henry's Law and the time it takes a drop to freeze [Stuart and Jacobson, 2006]. Other storm characteristics (e.g. entrainment) can also affect the scavenging rate of the trace gas. Some of these effects will be discussed in the next section.

 It is important to realize that the uncertainty in the estimated scavenging efficiencies is large and is mostly a product of the uncertainties of the peroxide measurements. The peroxide uncertainties 517 are 50% or greater for H_2O_2 and ~80% for low altitude and 40% or greater for high altitude CH3OOH (Section 2, Table 6). The high uncertainties propagate to the scavenging efficiencies, 519 manifesting into 5-23% uncertainty in the H_2O_2 scavenging efficiency and 15-67% uncertainty in 520 the CH₃OOH scavenging efficiency. For CH₃OOH, there tends to be more uncertainty associated with the lower scavenging efficiencies.

 In addition to the measurement uncertainties, there are uncertainties associated with the analysis method. While much care was invested in quantifying relevant values in inflow and outflow air, there exists the possibility that the times chosen include air that was not processed by the deep convection. A major assumption of the analysis is that the entrainment rate is constant for each kilometer layer from cloud base to the top of the storm cell. To test this assumption, the WRF- Chem model run using tracers described in Section 2.3 was analyzed to provide an altitude- dependent entrainment rate (Table 5) for the 29 May severe convection case in Oklahoma. For this case, the entrainment rate based on the hydrocarbon analysis was estimated to be 7.6%, while the WRF-Chem model estimated an average entrainment rate for the 1-11 km altitude range of 7.3%. Thus, the average entrainment rates based on these two methods are similar. However, the model results give a variation of entrainment with height, with higher entrainment in the first 2 kilometers near cloud base and near 7 km and the lowest entrainment from 3-5 km altitude and above 9 km altitude (Table 5). By using the altitude-dependent entrainment rates for 536 estimating the scavenging efficiency, we obtain values of 89% and 80% scavenging for H_2O_2 and CH3OOH, respectively. These values are similar to the 88% and 77% estimated by the constant entrainment rate method and are well within the uncertainty.

 A comparison of WRF-Chem simulations with and without wet deposition was done to estimate 541 scavenging efficiencies for the 29 May northern Oklahoma storm. Average H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH mixing ratios at the eastern edge of the modeled storm core tops, which was defined in longitude-latitude space by the column maximum radar reflectivity of 40 dBZ, were found for each of the simulations. Bela et al. [2015] explore the sensitivity of the scavenging efficiency to 545 the retention of the dissolved trace gas in freezing drops. They find that the H_2O_2 scavenging efficiency to be 100% with retention fractions into ice of 0.25, 0.5, 0.64, and 1.0 and 78±11% for 547 when there is no retention of dissolved trace gas in freezing drops. For CH₃OOH, Bela et al. 548 [2015] find the scavenging efficiency to be $26\pm6\%$, $35\pm7\%$, $39\pm5\%$, $51\pm4\%$, and $61\pm3\%$ for retention fractions of 0, 0.02, 0.25, 0.5, and 1.0, respectively. The model results with 100% retention for CH3OOH in ice give a CH3OOH scavenging efficiency (61%) most similar to the value calculated from observations (77%). In contrast, the simulation with 0% retention in ice produced CH2O mixing ratios in the convective outflow that best matched the observations [Fried et al., 2015]. Further analysis is being done to investigate the potential role of aqueous-554 phase chemistry on peroxide mixing ratios. These results suggest the retention of H_2O_2 and CH3OOH in freezing drops is an important contribution to scavenging of peroxides.

4. Discussion

 Here, we seek to get an idea of what atmospheric processes contribute to the wide range of CH3OOH scavenging that can be used as guidance in future analysis. Both physical processes 560 and chemical transformations can affect the H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH mixing ratios in thunderstorms. The thermodynamic environment in which the storms form determines the storm morphology. However, key cloud physical processes affecting the soluble trace gases are the liquid water content and the production of graupel and hail. As the air parcel is ingested and lofted to the top of the storm and then carried into the anvil region, the soluble trace gases undergo chemical transformations in both the gas and aqueous phases. All of these factors must be considered in 566 terms of understanding the estimated H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies. Since derived CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies vary so much, the discussion focuses on CH3OOH.

 The thermodynamic environment is critical for determining the storm morphology and intensity. Two important parameters of the thermodynamic environment are the convective available potential energy (CAPE) and the low-level vertical wind shear [Weisman and Klemp, 1982]. For DC3 storm cases, Barth et al. [2015] showed that the Alabama cases resided in the low shear and low CAPE regime, the Oklahoma/Texas cases resided in the high shear and high CAPE regime, while the Colorado cases resided between these two extremes. However, two Colorado cases had both high vertical wind shear and high CAPE similar to the Oklahoma cases. Placing the scavenging efficiencies in the context of the thermodynamic environment (Figure 5) shows that 577 there is no strong correlation of H_2O_2 scavenging efficiencies with CAPE and the 0-6 km vertical wind shear. The same is true for CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies, although the two highest CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies occur in severe storms with similar CAPE and vertical wind shear parameters.

 The morphology of the storm also depends on the depth of the warm cloud where only liquid water resides and depth of the mixed phase region because the cloud physics processes differ in these two regions. The Colorado storms have higher cloud bases than the Oklahoma storms because the warm and dry boundary layers in the region require higher lifting condensation levels. The Colorado storms have shallow warm cloud depths (Table 2), restricting the degree of scavenging via collision and coalescence of cloud droplets. However, supercooled liquid water 588 can exist at temperatures down to 233 K $(-40^{\circ}C)$ and dissolution into the liquid from the gas 589 phase occurs much more readily at colder temperatures. Nevertheless, the calculated H_2O_2 and CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies showed no correlation with the warm cloud depth or depth of cloud where cloud droplets exist. Further, the average ice water content (IWC) measured during 592 each outflow leg showed no correlation with the H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies. Fried et al. [2015] found the same lack of correlation between CH2O scavenging efficiencies and IWC and did not see substantial differences of CH₂O scavenging efficiencies between types of 595 storms except possibly the weak convection observed in Alabama that had a high $CH₂O$ scavenging efficiency.

 The amount of entrainment estimated for each storm may affect the CH₃OOH scavenging efficiency. For five out of the six storms, there is an increase in scavenging efficiency with a decrease in entrainment (Figure 6). Entrainment brings into the storm free troposphere background mixing ratios that are lower than those at the top of the boundary layer resulting in a reduction in CH3OOH mixing ratios in the updraft regions. When there is more entrainment, more low mixing ratios are mixed into the updraft region than when entrainment rates are lower. The possible importance of entrainment on convective outflow mixing ratios suggests that the shape of the vertical profile of the peroxides may be important. For example, a rapid decrease in mixing ratio from the top of the boundary layer into the free troposphere would decrease CH3OOH more than if the cloud-free mixing ratios remained elevated into the mid-troposphere (e.g. June 16, Figure 3).

 Another factor to consider is the chemistry that the peroxides experience as they are transported 611 from cloud base to the aircraft location in the anvil outflow region. Both H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH are primarily destroyed by photolysis (R1, R2) and oxidation by OH (R3, R4).

$$
H_2O_2 + hv \to 2OH \tag{R1}
$$

$$
CH_3OOH + hv \rightarrow CH_2O + HO_2 + OH
$$
 (R2)

$$
H_2O_2 + OH \rightarrow HO_2 + H_2O \tag{R3}
$$

616 *CH*₃*OOH* + *OH* \rightarrow *products* (R4)

 The rates of these reactions are altered by the presence of deep convection because the cloud particles scatter incoming solar radiation. When the cloud is attenuating solar radiation causing reduced photolysis rates and OH concentrations [Chang et al., 1987; Brasseur et al., 2002], the photochemistry tends to proceed more slowly. However, near the top of cloud where it is much 621 brighter, the chemistry is accelerated. Because H_2O_2 and CH_3OOH are both produced and 622 destroyed by HO_x and photolysis rates, their gas-phase photochemistry is less certain when 623 clouds scatter radiation. Previous modeling studies showed a $\leq 5\%$ effect on peroxide mixing ratios caused by cloud modified photolysis rates for boundary layer clouds in a marine setting 625 [Barth et al., 2002]. However, Wang [2005] expected increases of H_2O_2 in the upper regions of 626 deep convection due to the decreased photolysis rates and lack of water in which H_2O_2 dissolves and undergoes aqueous chemistry.

629 The production of H_2O_2 is primarily from the hydroperoxy radical self-reaction (R5). Similarly, CH3OOH is produced from methyl peroxy radical reaction with the hydroperoxy radical (R6). 631 However, NO reaction with the peroxy radicals and $NO₂$ reaction with peroxy radicals compete with the peroxide production (R7-R10), causing less peroxide production at higher NO concentrations.

634
$$
HO_2 + HO_2 \to H_2O_2 + O_2
$$
 (R5)

$$
CH_3OO + HO_2 \rightarrow CH_3OOH + O_2 \tag{R6}
$$

$$
HO_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + OH \tag{R7}
$$

$$
CH3OO + NO + O2 \rightarrow NO2 + CH2O + HO2
$$
 (R8)

$$
HO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow HO_2NO_2 \tag{R9}
$$

$$
CH_3OO + NO_2 \rightarrow CH_3OONO_2 \tag{R10}
$$

 In a thunderstorm, low NO conditions may exist in the inflow region of the storm, but as the air parcel rises generation of NO from lightning would create a high NO situation. While the peroxy 642 radicals have other destruction reactions (e.g. $HO_2 + OH$, and reaction with other organic peroxy radicals), their contribution to peroxy radical loss is much smaller than the reactions listed in R7- 644 R10. Comparing the loss of CH₃OO via reaction with HO₂ (R6) with those via reactions with NO 645 (R8) and NO₂ (R10) can illuminate whether the NO conditions are affecting the estimate of the CH3OOH scavenging efficiency. We can define the fraction of CH3OO to produce CH3OOH (F_{Prod CH3OOH}) as,

$$
648 \tFProd_CCH3OOH = \frac{k \cdot [HO_2]}{k \cdot [HO_2] + k \cdot [NO] + k \cdot [NO_2]}
$$
(4)

649 This fraction can be estimated using the DC-8 aircraft data for NO, $NO₂$, HO₂, and temperature. 650 The calculated $F_{\text{Prod CH3OOH}}$ values are found to be < 1% because of the high NO_x mixing ratios, 651 which ranged from 0.7 to 2.5 ppbv, and low HO_2 mixing ratios (0.3 – 3.9 pptv) measured in the 652 outflow flight legs. Comparing $F_{\text{Prod CH3OOH}}$ to the CH₃OOH scavenging efficiency (Figure 7a) 653 shows for five of the six storms analyzed there is a strong correlation ($r^2 = 0.90$) between the two parameters, with more CH3OOH scavenged when its fraction produced is less. The one storm that did not correspond well with this trend is the June 16, 2012 Oklahoma storm when outflow measurements were taken near sunset (measured photolysis rates were 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the other DC3 cases analyzed).

 Reaction 10 produces methyl peroxy nitrate (MPN), which was measured by the TD-LIF instrument on the DC-8. A comparison of average MPN data in the outflow region with the CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies shows no correspondence between the two parameters when all the storms are included in the comparison, but a strong correspondence between the two parameters when the data from 18 May and 6 June Colorado storms are omitted (Figure 7b). MPN measurements from these two storms were below detection limit. Nevertheless, the 665 correlation shown in Figure 7b suggests that lightning-generated NO_x in the updraft region and anvil is correlated with CH3OOH mixing ratios in the anvil.

668 To learn whether NO production from lightning could be a potential reason for $CH₃OOH$ depletion in thunderstorm anvils, the gas-aqueous photochemical box model was used. The model began with the same conditions as described in Section 2.4 but had a NO emission 671 included representing lightning- NO_x production. The NO source was set to 10 pptv per time step 672 (10 s) from the altitude where $T = 285$ K to the altitude where $T = 223$ K. This profile is based on the WRF-Chem results of NO mixing ratio in the updraft region. In Figure 8a, the NO vertical 674 profiles from the simulations without the "lightning-NO_x" source and with the "lightning-NO_x" source are shown. The source of NO causes an increase of NO mixing ratios from ~0.1 ppbv to 676 \sim 1.2 ppbv. Even with the increase in NO mixing ratios, both gas-phase H₂O₂ and CH₃OOH 677 mixing ratios are unchanged from the simulations where the "lightning- NO_x " source was not 678 included (Figure 8b), although the change in CH₃OOH mixing ratios does show a \leq 5 pptv decrease. Despite the null result from the parcel model calculations, the correlations shown above suggest that chemistry may play a role in affecting peroxide mixing ratios and cloud-resolving chemistry models could be used to examine this further.

 The results from the observational analyses do not depend on the time since the air parcel exited the storm core and was sampled by the DC-8 aircraft (Figure 7c), which was determined from the distance the aircraft was from the storm core using Figure 2 and dividing by the horizontal 686 wind speed from the aircraft measurements. Indeed, the storms with the highest $CH₃OOH$ scavenging efficiencies, June 6 and May 29, have very different estimated times since the air 688 parcel exited the storm core of $~60$ minutes and $~18$ minutes, respectively.

689

690 Aqueous-phase chemistry can also affect CH3OOH mixing ratios in a storm via in-cloud reaction 691 between CH₃OOH and HSO₃ [Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998],

692
$$
CH_3OOH + HSO_3^- + H^+ \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+ + CH_3OH
$$
 (A1)

693 The hydroxyl radical OH can also oxidize $CH₃OOH$ in the aqueous phase forming either $CH₃OO$ 694 radicals or $CH₂O$.

$$
CH_3OOH + OH \rightarrow CH_3OO + H_2O \tag{A2}
$$

 $CH_3OOH + OH \rightarrow CH_3(OH)_2 + HO_2$ (A3)

$$
(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{A},\mathcal
$$

 Barth et al. [2007a] included reactions A2 and A3 in their cloud chemistry modeling of thunderstorm chemistry and found essentially the same scavenging efficiency when aqueous chemistry was included in the simulation as when aqueous chemistry was excluded. However, Barth et al. [2007a] did not include reaction A1. To learn whether reaction A1 may be important, 701 the CH₃OOH scavenging efficiency is compared to the $SO₂$ inflow mixing ratios for each storm 702 case. Unfortunately SO_2 mixing ratios from the DC-8 are not available for the May 29 Oklahoma 703 storm because of instrument problems that day. The GV SO_2 measurements at low levels (2-3.5) km altitude) were obtained just before storm initiation but were primarily in western Oklahoma, to the west of where the DC-8 inflow legs were. Thus, the low-level GV measurements were not necessarily representative of the inflow conditions and are not used here. A comparison of the CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies with inflow $SO₂$ for the other five storm cases shows a strong 708 anti-correlation, with more scavenging at low SO_2 conditions (Figure 7d). Intuitively, this anti- correlation seems to be the opposite of what is expected if aqueous-phase chemistry is reducing CH3OOH. While there is a strong anti-correlation between CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies and 711 inflow SO_2 , it is not obvious if SO_2 is affecting CH₃OOH mixing ratios in the storm outflow region.

5. Conclusion

 We have analyzed DC3 observations of hydrogen peroxide and methyl hydrogen peroxide to determine their scavenging efficiencies in thunderstorms observed in the High Plains of northeast Colorado and Southern Great Plains of Oklahoma. The analysis method, which is similar to that described by Fried et al. [2015], first finds an entrainment rate for each storm by using mixing ratios of n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, and i-pentane, which are all sufficiently long-lived chemically and insoluble to be good tracers of transport, in the inflow and outflow regions, and clear-air vertical profiles. Once the entrainment rate is known, the peroxide scavenging efficiencies are found from the measurements from the same inflow, outflow, and clear-sky 723 regions. The calculated H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies are 79-97% and 12-84%, respectively, for six DC3 storms analyzed. The scavenging efficiency uncertainties are 5-23% 725 and 15-67% for H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH, respectively, and are mostly from the uncertainties of the peroxide measurements. The cloud resolving modeling by Bela et al. [2015] predicts scavenging efficiencies similar to those observed for the 29 May 2012 DC3 storm when the retention 728 efficiency of H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH dissolved in freezing drops was 25% or greater for H_2O_2 and 100% for CH3OOH. These modeling results suggest that the degree of riming of cloud drops by snow and graupel could affect the amount of CH3OOH scavenged by the storms.

 We investigated several environmental, storm morphology, and chemical parameters that may contribute to the wide range of calculated CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies. While the thermodynamic environment (e.g. CAPE and 0-6 km vertical wind shear) and physical processes (e.g. ice water content) play a role in the degree of scavenging, they do not explain why CH3OOH scavenging has such a large range for the six storms examined. We found that more 737 CH₃OOH was scavenged at low entrainment rates and less CH₃OOH was scavenged at high entrainment rates in storms. This correlation may be connected with the shape of the CH3OOH vertical profile in clear sky since the peroxide profiles usually did not decrease sharply with altitude like the butane and pentane vertical profiles, from which the entrainment rate was derived. Further, the variability of entrainment rate with height (as prescribed by the cloud resolving model simulation) does not significantly change the calculated scavenging efficiencies.

 The production of NO from lightning may influence CH3OOH mixing ratios in the convective 745 outflow by increasing the CH₃OO + NO and the CH₃OO + NO₂ reaction rates, which reduces the 746 production of CH₃OOH via CH₃OO + HO₂. Correlations between the CH₃OOH scavenging 747 efficiency and the fraction of $CH₃OO$ producing $CH₃OOH$ and between the scavenging efficiency and methyl peroxy nitrate suggest such a connection. However, our gas-aqueous 749 photochemical box model shows that gas-phase CH₃OOH mixing ratios are unchanged when a NO source is included in the simulation. CH3OOH can also be destroyed in the aqueous phase 751 via reaction with bisulfite ion (the dominant form of SO_2 in cloud droplets), suggesting a positive 752 correlation between $CH₃OOH$ scavenging efficiencies and the inflow $SO₂$ mixing ratios. However, we found a strong anti-correlation between these two quantities indicating that the aqueous-phase chemistry may not contribute to the wide range of scavenging efficiencies found.

 The analysis done here, via correlations between measured variables and calculated scavenging efficiency and process-scale modeling, suggests that dynamical, physical, and chemical processes affect CH3OOH in the outflow of thunderstorms. The amount of hail in the storm plays an important role in two ways. First, the production of hail involves substantial riming of cloud droplets by falling snow and graupel. A portion of a trace gas dissolved in the cloud droplets would be retained in the precipitating hail and subsequently removed from the atmosphere. Hail also plays a key role in triggering lightning. The NO produced from lightning reduces the 763 production of gas-phase CH₃OOH because of NO and NO₂ reactions with CH₃OO (a key 764 precursor of CH₃OOH) forming formaldehyde, $NO₂$, and methyl peroxy nitrate, as shown in scatter plots between CH3OOH scavenging efficiencies and two of these parameters. However, photochemical box model simulations do not confirm that increased NO causes decreased CH3OOH mixing ratios at the top of the storm core. This investigation provides guidance for future studies on understanding the complex interactions between storms and chemistry for peroxides. To more thoroughly understand these interactions, cloud chemistry modeling that explores the various effects of entrainment, hail (especially its role in scavenging soluble trace 771 gases via the riming of cloud droplets), lightning- NO_x , and other chemistry precursors should be pursued.

774 Previous studies estimated CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies to be $\leq 10\%$, thus the high values found in this study are surprising and could have implications on the chemistry downwind of convection in the upper troposphere. The low CH3OOH mixing ratios (100-350 pptv) in the convective outflow observed here would produce via CH3OOH photolysis and OH oxidation less 778 CH₂O and HO_x radicals than if less CH₃OOH were scavenged. Thus, the high scavenging efficiencies of CH3OOH may explain discrepancies between photochemical box model calculations and measurements of CH2O in convective outflow plumes, similar to those 781 described by Fried et al. [2003]. It is expected that the low H_2O_2 and CH₃OOH mixing ratios in 782 the convective outflow would have a smaller contribution to downwind O_3 production compared to CH2O, whose mixing ratios in the convective outflow ranged from 600 to 1500 pptv [Fried et 784 al., 2015]. The contribution of $CH₂O$ and the peroxides to UT $O₃$ formation can be pursued further via model calculations.

Acknowledgements

 The authors thank the DC3 Science and Logistics teams for the successful execution of the DC3 field campaign. Data from the DC3 field project can be found at 790 http://data.eol.ucar.edu/master_list/?project=DC3. The aircraft data are also located at http://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ArcView/dc3-seac4rs. We appreciate Conrad Ziegler (NOAA/NSSL) and his team as well as the NCAR/EOL ISS team for the radiosonde data. We value the contributions of John Orlando and the comments on the paper by Sasha Madronich and Rebecca Hornbrook. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation. The INSTAAR group acknowledges NSF and NASA under grant awards AGS-1261559 and NNX12AMO8G, respectively, for funding their participation in the

 measurements and analysis. The Caltech group thanks NASA for funding their participation in DC3 and contribution to this analysis via grants NNX12AC06G and NNX14AP46G-ACCDAM. N. Blake and D. Blake acknowledge support for DC3 measurements from NASA Award NNX12AB76G. C. Homeyer was supported by NSF under Grant No. AGS-1522910. W. H. Brune, L. Zhang, J. Mao, and X. Ren were supported by NASA Grant NNX12AB84G. T. B. Ryerson, J. Peischl, and I. Pollack were supported under the NOAA Climate Change and NOAA Health of the Atmosphere programs, with participation in DC3 made possible by NASA grant NNH12AT30I. R. C. Cohen and B. A. Nault were supported by NASA Grant NNX12AB79G. B. A. Nault was also supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship under grant no. DGE

1106400. L. G. Huey and X. Liu were supported by NASA Grant NNX12AB77G.

References

- Barth, M. C., D. A. Hegg, P. V. Hobbs, J. G. Walega, G. L. Kok, B. G. Heikes, and A. L. Lazrus (1989), Measurements of atmospheric gas-phase and aqueous-phase hydrogen peroxide concentrations in winter on the east coast of the United States, Tellus, 41B, 61-69.
-
- Barth, M. C., A. L. Stuart, and W. C. Skamarock (2001), Numerical simulations of the July 10, 816 1996 Stratospheric-Tropospheric Experiment: Radiation, Aerosols, and Ozone/Deep
817 Convection Experiment storm: Redistribution of soluble tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 106, Convection Experiment storm: Redistribution of soluble tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12,381– 12,400.
-

- 820 Barth, M. C., P. G. Hess, and S. Madronich, (2002), Effect of marine boundary layer clouds on 821 tropospheric chemistry as analyzed in a regional chemistry transport model, J. Geophys.
822 Res., 107, (D11), 4126, doi:10.1029/2001JD000468. Res., 107, (D11), 4126, doi:10.1029/2001JD000468.
- Barth, M. C., S. Sillman, R. Hudman, M. Z. Jacobson, C.-H. Kim, A. Monod, and J. Liang (2003), Summary of the cloud chemistry modeling intercomparison: Photochemical box model simulation, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D7), 4214, doi:10.1029/2002JD002673.
- 828 Barth, M. C., S.-W. Kim, W. C. Skamarock, A. L. Stuart, K. E. Pickering, and L. E. Ott (2007a), 829 Simulations of the redistribution of formaldehyde, formic acid, and peroxides in the 10 July
830 1996 Stratospheric-Tropospheric Experiment: Radiation, Aerosols, and Ozone deep 1996 Stratospheric-Tropospheric Experiment: Radiation, Aerosols, and Ozone deep convection storm, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D13310, doi:10.1029/2006JD008046.
- Barth, M. C., S.-W. Kim, C. Wang, K. E. Pickering, L. E. Ott, G. Stenchikov, M. Leriche, S. Cautenet, J.-P. Pinty, Ch. Barthe, C. Mari, J. H. Helsdon, R. D. Farley, A. M. Fridlind, A. S. Ackerman, V. Spiridonov, and B. Telenta (2007b), Cloud-scale model intercomparison of chemical constituent transport in deep convection, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4709-4731, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4709-2007.
-
- Barth, M. C., C. A. Cantrell, W. H. Brune, S. A. Rutledge, J. H. Crawford, H. Huntrieser, L. D. Carey, D. MacGorman, M. Weisman, K. E. Pickering, E. Bruning, B. Anderson, E. Apel, M. Biggerstaff, T. Campos, P. Campuzano-Jost, R. Cohen, J. Crounse, D. A. Day, G. Diskin, F. Flocke, A. Fried, C. Garland, B. Heikes, S. Honomichl, R. Hornbrook, L. G. Huey, J. Jimenez, T. Lang, M. Lichtenstern, T. Mikoviny, B. Nault, D. O'Sullivan, L. Pan, J. Peischl, I. Pollack, D. Richter, D. Riemer, T. Ryerson, H. Schlager, J. St. Clair, J. Walega, P. Weibring, A. Weinheimer, P. Wennberg, A. Wisthaler, P. Wooldridge, and C. Ziegler, (2015) The Deep Convective Clouds and Chemistry (DC3) Field Campaign, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 1281–1309, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00290.1.
-
- Bela, M. M., M. C. Barth, O. B. Toon, A. Fried, Y Li, K. Cummings, K. Pickering, C. Homeyer, H. Morrison, Q. Yang, D. Allen, F. Flocke, D. O'Sullivan, L. G. Huey, D. Chen, X. Lu, (2015) Wet removal of soluble species in DC3 Deep Convective storms using WRF-Chem simulations and aircraft observations, to be submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
- Blake, N.J., B. Barletta, I. J. Simpson, J. Schroeder, S. Hughes, J. Marrero, S. Meinardi, D. R Blake, E. C Apel, R. S Hornbrook, L. K Emmons (2015), Spatial distributions and source characterization of trace organic gases during SEAC4RS and comparison to DC3, In Preparation.
- Borbon, A., et al. (2012), Transport and chemistry of formaldehyde by mesoscale convective systems in West Africa during AMMA 2006, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D12301,
- Brasseur A.-L., R. Ramaroson, A. Delannoy, W. Skamarock, M. Barth (2002), Three- dimensional calculation of photolysis frequencies in the presence of clouds, J. Atmos. Chem., 41, 211-237.
-

- Browne, E. C., A. E. Perring, P. J. Wooldridge, E. Apel, S. R. Hall, L. G. Huey, J. Mao, K. M. Spencer, J. M. St. Clair, A. J. Weinheimer, A. Wisthaler, and R. C. Cohen (2011) Global and regional effects of the photochemistry of CH3O2NO2: evidence from ARCTAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4209-4219, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4209-2011.
-
- Chang, J. S., R. A. Brost, I. S. A. Isaksen, S. Madronich, P. Middleton, W. R. Stockwell, and C. J. Walcek (1987), A three-dimensional Eulerian acid deposition model: Physical concepts and formulation, J. Geophys. Res., 92(D12), 14681–14700, doi:10.1029/JD092iD12p14681.
- Chin, M., P. Ginoux, S. Kinne, O. Torres, B. N. Holben, B. N. Duncan, R. V. Martin, J. A. Logan, A. Higurashi, and T. Nakajima (2002), Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the gocart model and comparisons with satellite and sun photometer measurements, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 59 (3), 461{483, doi:10.1175/1520- 0469(2002)059h0461:TAOTFTi2.0.CO;2.
-
- Cohan, D. S., M. G. Schultz, D. J. Jacob, B. G. Heikes, and D. R. Blake (1999), Convective injection and photochemical decay of peroxides in the tropical upper troposphere: Methyl iodide as a tracer of marine convection, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 5717–5724.
-
- Crum, T. D. and R. L. Alberty (1993), The WSR-88D operational support facility, Bull. Am. 886 Meteorol. Soc., 74(9), 1669-1687.
- Colman, J. J., A. L. Swanson, S. Meinardi, B. C. Sive, D. R. Blake, and F. S. Rowland (2001), Description of the analysis of a wide range of volatile organic compounds in whole air samples collected during PEM-Tropics A and B, Anal. Chem., 73, 3723-3731.
- Crutzen, P. J., and M. G. Lawrence (2000), The impact of precipitation scavenging on the transport of trace gases: A 3-dimensional model sensitivity study, J. Atmos. Chem., 37, 81- 112.
-
- Emmons, L. K., S. Walters, P. G. Hess, J.-F. Lamarque, G. G. Pfister, D. Fillmore, C. Granier, A. Guenther, D. Kinnison, T. Laepple, J. Orlando, X. Tie, G. Tyndall, C. Wiedinmyer, S. L. Baughcum, and S. Kloster (2010), Description and evaluation of the model for ozone and related chemical tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4), Geoscientific Model Development, 3 (1),
-
- 43{67, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010.
- Faloona, I. C., D. Tan, R. L. Lesher, N. L. Hazen, C. L. Frame, J. B. Simpas, H. Harder, M. Martinez, P. Di Carlo, X. R. Ren, and W. H. Brune, (2004) A laser-induced fluorescence 904 instrument for detecting tropospheric OH and HO₂: Characteristics and calibration, J. Atmos.
905 Chem., 47, 139-167, doi:10.1023/B:JOCH.0000021036.53185.0e. Chem., 47, 139-167, doi:10.1023/B:JOCH.0000021036.53185.0e.
-
- Fierro, A. O., E. R. Mansell, C. L. Ziegler, and D. R. MacGorman (2012), Application of a lightning data assimilation technique in the wrf-arw model at cloud-resolving scales for the tornado outbreak of 24 may 2011, Monthly Weather Review, 140 (8), 2609{2627, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-11-00299.1.
-
- Fried, A., et al. (2003), Airborne tunable diode laser measurements of formaldehyde during TRACE-P: Distributions and box model comparisons, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8798, doi:10.1029/2003JD003451, D20.
- Fried, A., M. C. Barth, M. M. Bela, P. Weibring, D. Richter, J. Walega, Y. Li, K. Pickering, E. Apel, R. Hornbrook, A. Hills, D. D. Riemer, N. Blake, D. R. Blake, J.R. Schroeder, Z. J. Luo, J. H. Crawford, J. Olson, S. Rutledge, C. R. Homeyer, G. S. Diskin, G. Sachse, T. Campos, A. Weinheimer, T. Ryerson, I. Pollack, J. Peischl, K. Froyd, A. Wisthaler, T. Mikoviny, and S. Woods (2015), Convective transport of formaldehyde to the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere and associated scavenging in thunderstorms over the central United States during the 2012 DC3 study, submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
- Gilman, J. B., B. M. Lerner, W. C. Kuster, and J. A. de Gouw (2013), Source signature of volatile organic compounds from oil and natural gas operations in Northeastern Colorado, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 1297–1305.
-

- 928 Grell G. A., S. E. Peckham, R. Schmitz, S. A. McKeen, G. Frost, W. C. Skamarock, and B. Eder
929 (2005), Fully coupled 'online' chemistry in the WRF model, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957-6976. (2005), Fully coupled 'online' chemistry in the WRF model, Atmos. Environ., 39, 6957-6976.
- 931 Guenther, A., T. Karl, P. Harley, C. Wiedinmyer, P. I. Palmer, and C. Geron (2006), Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6 (11), 3181{3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006.
-
- Homeyer, C. R. (2014), Formation of the enhanced-v infrared cloud-top feature from high- resolution three-dimensional radar observations. J. Atmos. Sci., 71, 332–348. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-079.1
-
- Homeyer, C. R. and M. R. Kumjian (2015), Microphysical characteristics of overshooting convection from polarimetric radar observations. J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 870–891. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0388.1
- Hong, S.-Y., Y. Noh, and J. Dudhia (2006), A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of entrainment processes, Monthly Weather Review, 134 (9), 2318-2341,

doi:10.1175/MWR3199.1.

- 948 Huntrieser, H., M. Lichtenstern, M. Scheibe, H. Aufmhoff, H. Schlager, T. Pucik, A. Minikin1, 949 B. Weinzierl, K. Heimerl, I. B. Pollack, J. Peischl, T. B. Ryerson, A. J. Weinheimer, S. 950 Honomichl, B. A. Ridley, M. I. Biggerstaff, D. P. Betten, J. W. Hair, C. F. Butler, M. J. 951 Schwartz, and M. C. Barth (2015a) Injection of lightning-produced NO_x , water vapor, 952 wildfire emissions, and stratospheric air to the UT/LS as observed from DC3 953 measurements, submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
-

- Huntrieser, H., M. Lichtenstern, M. Scheibe, H. Aufmhoff, H. Schlager, T. Pucik, A. Minikin1, B. Weinzierl, K. Heimerl, D. Fütterer, B. Rappenglück, L. Ackermann, K. E. Pickering, K. A. Cummings, M. I. Biggerstaff, D. P. Betten, S. Honomichl, and M. C. Barth (2015b), On 958 the origin of pronounced O_3 gradients in the thunderstorm outflow region during DC3, 959 submitted to J. Geophys. Res.
- Iacono, M. J., J. S. Delamere, E. J. Mlawer, M. W. Shephard, S. A. Clough, and W. D. Collins (2008), Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D13103, doi:10.1029/2008JD009944.
- 965 Jaeglé, L., et al. (1997) Observed OH and $HO₂$ in the upper troposphere suggest a major source from convective injection of peroxides, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 3181-3184.
- Kim, S., et al. (2007), Measurement of HO2NO2 in the free troposphere during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment–North America 2004, J. Geophys. Res., 970 112, D12S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007676.
- Kim, S.-W., M. C. Barth, and M. Trainer (2012), Influence of fair-weather clouds on isoprene chemistry*,* J. Geophys. Res., 117, D10302, doi:10.1029/2011JD017099.
- Lawson, R. P., D. O'Connor, P, Zmarzly, K. Weaver, B. Baker, and Q. Mo (2006), The 2D-S (Stereo) Probe: Design and Preliminary Tests of a New Airborne, High-Speed, High- Resolution Particle Imaging Probe, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 23, 1462-1477.
- Lee, S. S., L. J. Donner, V. T. J. Phillips, and Y. Ming (2008), The dependence of aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation on cloud-system organization, shear and stability, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009224.
-
- Leriche, M. Pinty, J.-P., Mari, C., and Gazen, D. (2013), A cloud chemistry module for the 3-D cloud-resolving mesoscale model Meso-NH with application to idealized cases, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1275-1298, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-1275-2013.
- Luo, Z. J., G. Y. Liu, and G. L. Stephens (2010), Use of A-Train data to estimate convective buoyancy and entrainment rate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L09804, doi:10.1029/2010GL042904.
-
- Macdonald, A. M., K. G. Anlauf, C. M. Banic, W. R. Leaitch, and H. A. Wiebe (1995), Airborne measurements of aqueous and gaseous hydrogen peroxide during spring and summer in Ontario, Canada, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D4), 7253–7262, doi:10.1029/95JD00194.
- Morrison, H., G. Thompson, and V. Tatarskii (2009), Impact of cloud microphysics on the development of trailing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line: Comparison of one- and two-moment schemes, Mon. Weather Review, 137 (3), 991{1007, doi:10.1175/2008MWR2556.1.
- Nault, B. A., C. Garland, S. E. Pusede, P. J. Wooldridge, K. Ullmann, S. R. Hall, and R. C. 1001 Cohen, (2015) Measurements of $CH_3O_2NO_2$ in the upper troposphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech.,
1002 8, 987-997, doi:10.5194/amt-8-987-2015. 8, 987-997, doi:10.5194/amt-8-987-2015.
- Neu, J. L. and Prather, M. J. (2012) Toward a more physical representation of precipitation scavenging in global chemistry models: cloud overlap and ice physics and their impact on tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3289-3310, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3289-2012.
- Nguyen, T. B., Crounse, J. D., Teng, A. P., St. Clair, J. M., Paulot, F., Wolfe, G. M., and Wennberg, P. O. (2015) Rapid Deposition of Oxidized Biogenic Compounds to a Temperate Forest Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112, E392– E401, doi:10.1073/pnas.1418702112.
- Paulot, F., J. D. Crounse, H. G. Kjaergaard, A. Kurten, J. M. St. Clair, J. H. Seinfeld, and P. O. Wennberg (2009), Unexpected epoxide formation in the gas-phase photooxidation of isoprene, Science, 325 (5941), 730-733.
- Pollack, I. B., B. M. Lerner, and T. B. Ryerson (2011), Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting 1017 diodes for detection of atmospheric $NO₂$ by photolysis - chemiluminescence, J. Atmos. Chem., 65(2), 111-125, doi:10.1007/s10874-011-9184-3.
-

- Prather, M. J., and D. J. Jacob, (1997) A, persistent imbalance in HOx and NOx photochemistry of the upper troposphere driven by deep tropical convection, Geophys Res. Lett., 24, 3189- 3192.
- Ravetta, F., D. J. Jacob, W. H. Brune, D. Tan, I.F. Faloona, B. G. Heikes, B. Anderson, D. R. Blake, G. L. Gregory, G. W. Sachse, S. T. Sandholm, R. E. Shetter, H. B. Singh, and R. W. Talbot, (2001) Experimental evidence for the importance of convected methylhydroperoxide as a source of hydrogen oxide (HOx) radicals in the tropical upper troposphere. J. Geophys. Res., 106, 32,709-32,716, doi:10.1029/2001JD900009.
- Sander, R. (2015), Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4399-4981, doi:10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015.
-

 Sander, S. P., J. Abbatt, J. R. Barker, J. B. Burkholder, R. R. Friedl, D. M. Golden, R. E. Huie, C. E. Kolb, M. J. Kurylo, G. K. Moortgat, V. L. Orkin and P. H. Wine (2011), "Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 17," JPL Publication 10-6, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov.

- Scala, J. R.*,* et al. (1990)*,* Cloud draft structure and trace gas transport*,* J. Geophys. Res.*,* 95*(*D10*),* 17,015–17,030*,* doi:10.1029/JD095iD10p17015*.*
- Schroeder, J. R., L. L. Pan, T. Ryerson, G. Diskin, J. Hair, S. Meinardi, I. Simpson, B. Barletta, N. Blake, and D. R. Blake (2014), Evidence of mixing between polluted convective outflow and stratospheric air in the upper troposphere during DC3, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 11,477–11,491, doi:10.1002/2014JD022109.
-
- Seinfeld, J. and S. Pandis (1998) Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, Wiley, New York, USA.
-
- Simpson, I. J., N. J. Blake, B. Barletta, G. S. Diskin, H. E. Fuelberg, K. Gorham, L. G. Huey, S. Meinardi, F. S. Rowland, S. A. Vay, A. J. Weinheimer, M. Yang, and D. R. Blake (2010), Characterization of trace gases measured over Alberta oil sands mining operations: 76 speciated C2–C10 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO2, CH4, CO, NO, NO2, NOy, O3 and SO2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11931-11954, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11931-2010.
-
- Snow, J. A., B. G. Heikes, H. Shen, D. W. O'Sullivan, A. Fried, and J. Walega (2007), Hydrogen peroxide, methyl hydroperoxide, and formaldehyde over North America and the North Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S07, doi:10.1029/2006JD007746.
- St. Clair, J. M., D. C. McCabe, J. D. Crounse, U. Steiner, and P. O. Wennberg (2010), Chemical ionization tandem mass spectrometer for the in situ measurement of methyl hydrogen peroxide, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 81 (9), 094102, doi: 10.1063/1.3480552.
- Stuart, A. L., and M. Z. Jacobson (2006), A numerical model of the partitioning of trace chemical solutes during drop freezing, J. Atmos. Chem., 53, 13– 42.
- Swarthout, R. F.; Russo, R. S.; Zhou, Y.; Hart, A. H.; Sive, B. C. (2013) Volatile organic compound distributions during the NACHTT campaign at the Boulder Atmospheric Observatory: Influence of urban and natural gas sources. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 118 (18), 10614−10637.
- Taylor, J. R. (1982) An introduction to error analysis: the study of uncertainties in physical measurements, University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, USA.
- Thompson, A. M.*,* K. E. Pickering*,* R. R. Dickerson*,* W. G. Ellis Jr.*,* D. J. Jacob*,* J. R. Scala*,* W.- K. Tao*,* D. P. McNamara*, and* J. Simpson *(*1994*),* Convective transport over the central United States and its role in regional CO and ozone budgets*,* J. Geophys. Res.*,* 99*(*D9*),* 18,703–18,711, doi:10.1029/94JD01244*.*

1079 Thornton, J. A., P. J. Wooldridge, and R. C. Cohen (2000), Atmospheric NO₂: In situ laser- induced fluorescence detection at parts per trillion mixing ratios, Anal. Chem. 72, 528–539.

- Wang, C. (2005), A modeling study of the response of tropical deep convection to the increase of cloud condensation nuclei concentration: 2. Radiation and tropospheric chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D22204, doi:10.1029/2005JD005829.
- Weisman, M. L. and J. B. Klemp (1982), The dependence of numerically simulated convective storms on vertical wind shear and buoyancy. Mon. Wea. Rev., 110, 504–520. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1982)110<0504:TDONSC>2.0.CO;2
- Weisman, M. L., J. B. Klemp, and R. Rotunno, (1988), Structure and Evolution of Numerically Simulated Squall Lines. J. Atmos. Sci., 45, 1990–2013, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520- 0469(1988)045<1990:SAEONS>2.0.CO;2
- 1094 Wennberg, P. O., et al. (1998), Hydrogen radicals, nitrogen radicals, and the production of O₃ in the upper troposphere, Science, 279, 49–53. the upper troposphere, Science, $279, 49-53$.
- Wesely, M. (1989), Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293–1304.
-

- Wiedinmyer, C., S. K. Akagi, R. J. Yokelson, L. K. Emmons, J. A. Al-Saadi, J. J. Orlando, and A. J. Soja (2011), The fire inventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4 (3), 625{641, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011.
-

Yang, Q., R. C. Easter, P. Campuzano-Jost, J. L. Jimenez, J. D. Fast, S. J. Ghan, H. Wang, L. K. Berg, M. C. Barth, Y. Liu, et al. (2015), Aerosol transport and wet scavenging in deep convective clouds: A case study and model evaluation using a multiple passive tracer analysis approach, J. Geophys. Res., 120, doi:10.1002/2015JD023647.

-
-

1113 **Tables**

1114

1115

1116 **Table 1.** List of data and instruments used in the analysis.

^a CIT-CIMS is California Institute of Technology chemical ionization mass spectrometry; WAS is the Whole Air Sampler that uses gas chromatography: CSD CL is NOAA Chemical Science Division

Whole Air Sampler that uses gas chromatography; CSD CL is NOAA Chemical Science Division

1119 chemiluminescence; TD-LIF is thermal dissociation – laser induced fluorescence; ATHOS is Airborne

1120 Tropospheric Hydrogen Oxides Sensor that uses laser induced fluorescence; GT-CIMS is Georgia

1121 Institute of Technology chemical ionization mass spectrometry; DLH is diode laser hygrometer that uses

1122 differential absorption spectroscopy; MMS is Meteorological Measurement System; 2DS is two-
1123 dimensional stereo probe.

1123 dimensional stereo probe.

1124 $\frac{b}{2}$ The NO₂ measurement should be interpreted as the sum of NO₂ and MPN based on the findings of

1125 Browne et al. [2011].

1126 \degree MPN is methyl peroxy nitrate.

- 1127
- 1128
- 1129

$\begin{array}{c} 1130 \\ 1131 \end{array}$ Table 2. DC3 cases investigated.

Date	Inflow Time (UTC)	Inflow Altitude (km)	Outflow Time (UTC)	Outflow Altitude (km)
18 May 2012	22:48:29-22:51:10	1.7	23:17:50-23:22:00	11.3
29 May 2012	23:10:21-23:15:53	1.3	23:49:18-23:58:13	11.0
02 June 2012	21:16:18-21:27:38	1.9	22:40:46-22:46:10	11.1
06 June 2012	22:13:40-22:25:12	17	23:57:22-24:01:59	12.4
16 June 2012	24:15:00-24:20:00	0.95	25:50:00-25:55:00	11.9
22 June 2012	22:31:27-22:45:54	2.0	25:16:51-25:19:24	11.2

Table 3. Inflow and outflow times (UTC) and altitude (km, MSL) of measurements from the DC-8 aircraft used for analysis.

Table 4. Configuration of the WRF simulation.

Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature; ^dFINN = Fire Inventory from NCAR;
^eMOZART = Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers; ^fGOCART = Goddard Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport model.

Layer Bottom	Layer Top	Percent Contribution	
Altitude (km)	Altitude (km)	$\frac{1}{2}$	
		6.4 ± 4.8	
	2	$12. \pm 7.8$	
	3	9.4 ± 5.5	
3	4	5.0 ± 3.1	
4	5	5.8 ± 3.6	
5	6	8.5 ± 5.7	
6		9.4 ± 6.7	
	8	8.4 ± 5.9	
8	9	8.3 ± 7.9	
9	10	$5.7 \pm 12.$	
10	11	0.26 ± 0.86	
11	12	0.01 ± 0.02	
Average for 1-11 km altitudes	7.3 ± 3.3		

Table 5. Percent contributions of each 1-km altitude layer to the storm core top as determined from the WRF-Chem simulation.

Table 6. Mixing ratios of H_2O_2 (pptv) and CH₃OOH (pptv) averaged for the inflow and outflow times. The uncertainties of the average values are included.

Date	ັ Inflow Time		Outflow Time	
	H_2O_2	CH ₃ OOH	H_2O_2	CH ₃ OOH
18 May 2012	665 ± 407	571 ± 453	87±119	102 ± 71
29 May 2012	2462 ± 1306	1522 ± 1276	169 ± 160	175 ± 104
02 June 2012	2108±1129	580±459	60 ± 105	199 ± 109
06 June 2012	4135±2142	1148±911	94 ± 122	126 ± 81
16 June 2012	1777±964	1655 ± 1381	90±120	336 ± 164
22 June 2012	1544±847	647±499	255 ± 203	276 ± 145

	Inflow Region		Outflow Region		Inflow	Outflow
Date	n-butane	<i>i</i> -butane	n-butane	<i>i</i> -butane		<i>i</i> -butane/n-butane
18 May 2012	1511 ± 45	537 ± 16	431 ± 65	164 ± 23	0.36	0.38
29 May 2012	1548 ± 31	513 ± 10	763 ± 61	280 ± 25	0.33	0.37
02 June 2012	262 ± 68	112 ± 25	108 ± 3	51 ± 3	0.43	0.48
06 June 2012	312 ± 28	132 ± 10	224 ± 2^a	$95 \pm 4^{\circ}$	0.42	0.39
16 June 2012	1746 ± 514	678 ± 165	406 ± 39	169 ± 19	0.40	0.42
22 June 2012	194 ± 25	70 ± 11	150 ± 3	52 ± 3	0.36	0.35
	n-pentane	<i>i</i> -pentane	n-pentane	<i>i</i> -pentane		i-pentane/n-pentane
18 May 2012	365 ± 11	377 ± 11	107 ± 17	110 ± 18	1.03	1.03
29 May 2012	$457+9$	397 ± 8	202 ± 17	210 ± 26	0.87	1.04
02 June 2012	68 ± 19	$72 + 25$	31 ± 3	32 ± 3	1.05	1.02
06 June 2012	80 ± 8	84 ± 13	$56\pm1^{\circ}$	54 ± 1^a	1.05	0.96
16 June 2012	596 ± 202	550 ± 145	105 ± 21	109 ± 18	0.92	1.04
22 June 2012	$44 + 4$	49 ± 5	42 ± 3	34 ± 3	1.11	0.81

Table 7. Mixing ratios of n-butane, i-butane, n-pentane, i-pentane (pptv) and the i-butane/n-butane and ipentane/n-pentane ratios averaged for the inflow and outflow regions. The standard deviations of the averages are included.

^aValues from Fried et al. [2015] using the outflow measurements from both the DC-8 and GV. These values are extrapolated to the top of the storm core as described by Fried et al. [2015].

Date	Entrainment	Scavenging Efficiencies (%)	
	Rate $(\%$ km ⁻¹)	H_2O_2	CH ₃ OOH
18 May 2012	14.1 ± 0.3	84 ± 23	58 ± 35
29 May 2012	7.6 ± 1.6	88 ± 11	77 ± 20
02 June 2012	16.5 ± 4.6	94 ± 13	12 ± 67
06 June 2012	$4.1^* \pm 0.7$	97 ± 0.5	84 ± 15
16 June 2012	17.2 ± 1.7	89 ± 15	30 ± 50
22 June 2012	4.8 ± 0.9	79 ± 19	44 ± 47

Table 8. Entrainment rate and scavenging efficiencies for each storm analyzed.

* Entrainment rate is from Fried et al. [2015].

Figures

Figure 1. Air motions associated with deep convection in an environment with high vertical wind shear. The schematic is annotated with locations of the measured trace gas mixing ratios in the boundary layer inflow, free troposphere background, anvil outflow, and storm core top. Also shown is a schematic of the DC-8 flight pattern for sampling clear air profiles near the thunderstorm.

Figure 2. Maximum column reflectivity from the NWS NEXRAD radars for a) 18 May southwest Nebraska, b) 29 May Oklahoma, c) 2 June Colorado, d) 6 June Colorado, e) 16 June Oklahoma, and f) 22 June Colorado DC3 cases. Overlaid is the NASA DC-8 aircraft flight track with the start and end times of the outflow flight leg and colored by the mixing ratio of CH3OOH (pptv) for the 20 minutes surrounding the time of the radar reflectivity image. Vectors indicate the aircraft winds for times when outflow data were used for the scavenging efficiency calculation.

Figure 3. Cloud-free vertical profiles of n-butane (blue), i-butane (red), n-pentane (black), i-pentane (green), and H_2O_2 (blue) and CH₃OOH (red) for the a) 18 May, b) 29 May, c) 2 June, d) 6 June, e) 16 June, and 22 June 2012 DC3 cases.

Figure 4. Vertical profiles of a) storm hydrometeor mixing ratios obtained from the WRF-Chem simulation, and gas-phase b) H_2O_2 and c) CH₃OOH mixing ratios calculated by the gas-aqueous photochemical box model. In panel a), cloud water is the blue line, rain the red line, ice the green line, snow the dark green line, and graupel/hail the orange line. In panels b) and c), the gas chemistry only simulation is the black line, and the gas-aqueous chemistry is the blue line and used the cloud water mixing ratios shown in a). The cloud water base and top are marked by the horizontal gray lines. In b) the ratio of the cloudy to clear sky H_2O_2 photolysis rate at 00 UTC is shown in dark green.

Figure 5. Scavenging efficiencies of H_2O_2 (a) and CH₃OOH (b) placed in the CAPE – low-level vertical wind shear parameter space. The size of the circles is scaled to the scavenging efficiency values. Blue circles denote Oklahoma storms and red circles are Colorado storms.

Figure 6. Correspondence of estimated CH₃OOH scavenging efficiencies and storm entrainment rates. The gray line in each plot represents the regression line for the data shown.

Figure 7. Correspondence of CH₃OOH scavenging efficiency with estimated a) fraction of CH₃OO producing CH3OOH, b) 60-s averaged methyl peroxy nitrate data in the outflow flight leg, c) time traveled by sampled air from storm cell to the aircraft in the outflow region, and d) average SO_2 mixing ratio in the inflow region of the storm. See text for details on how each parameter was estimated. The gray line in each plot represents the regression line for the data shown. The red line in panels a) and b) represents the regression line for the data without the 16 June data point and without the 18 May and 6 June data points, respectively.

Figure 8. Vertical profiles of gas-phase a) NO calculated by the photochemical gas-aqueous chemistry box model, and b) the difference between gas-phase H_2O_2 and CH_3OOH mixing ratios between the simulations with and without the NO source. The black and blue lines are H_2O_2 differences from the gasonly chemistry and gas-aqueous chemistry simulations, respectively. The red and orange lines are CH3OOH differences from the gas-only and gas-aqueous chemistry simulations, respectively.